

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,415	07/12/2001	Paul Goldman	OB-200	3576
75	90 05/24/2002			
Patent Counse	1		EXAM	INER
The Gillette Co	mpany		O CONNICT	CADVE
39th Floor			O CONNOR	C, CARY E
Prudential Tow			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 0	2199		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{M}		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/904,415	GOLDMAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cary E. O'Connor	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days oil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			s is		
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 10-12 and 27-30 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional applica	ation).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·		

/

Art Unit: 3732

4_1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amadera (4,906,187). Amadera shows an oral care device comprising a toothbrush including a head 20 with bristles and a conduit 17 for supplying water, particulate and pressurized air to the head (col. 4, lines 64-66). As to claim 2, note the handle 14. As to claims 3 –5, note that the conduit is located in the handle and the head (see Figure 4). As to claim 6, note column 4, lines 43-44). As to claim 22, note the switch 14c.

Claims 7-9, 13, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hines (5,503,553). Hines shows an oral care device comprising a housing 22, an electric motor and air compressor (pump 64), an enclosed container 41, a first conduit 78 for conducting compressed air from the compressor to the container, and a second conduit 54 from the container to an applicator 108. The specific material contained within the container cannot be given patentable weight in the claim because the material is not positively claimed.

Art Unit: 3732

4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hines (5,503,553) in view of Madsen (3,971,136) and Otani (5,387,182). Hines shows an oral care device comprising a housing 22, an electric motor and air compressor (pump 64), an enclosed container 41, a first conduit 78 for conducting compressed air from the compressor to the container, and a second conduit 54 from the container to an applicator 108. Madsen teaches an oral care device delivering compressed air at a pressure between 15-60 psi, which is effective to remove plaque. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to deliver the air of Hines at a pressure between 15-60 psi, as taught by Madsen, because it has been shown that this pressure is effective to remove plaque from the teeth. Otani shows an oral care device having a conduit of about two and a half feet long connected to the handle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the second conduit of Hines at least 24 inches long, as taught by Otani, so that the handle may easily reach all areas of the

Art Unit: 3732

mouth. The specific material contained within the container cannot be given patentable weight in the claim because the material is not positively claimed.

Claims 1-6 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blake et al (5,203,698) in view of Amadera (4,906,187). Blake shows an oral care device comprising an applicator 34 having a head 33 and a conduit 24 for supplying water particulate and pressurized air to the head. Amadera shows an oral care device wherein the head includes bristles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide bristle on the head of Blake, as taught by Amadera, in order to enhance the cleaning ability of the device. As to claim 26, note the water and slurry stored in the handle of Blake.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12, 27-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

The specification is objected to because reference character "34" has been used to designate both a valve (page 3, line 43) and an inlet (page 4, line 8). Correction is required.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cary E. O'Connor whose telephone number is 703-308-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Luchessi can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2708 for regular communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Cary E. O'Connor
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3732

ceo May 20, 2002